(1) SINGLE BENCH (4)

cf 1002

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 628 / 2007

Petitioner ..... Smt. Kanta Sinha ,Aged 62 years
(Before Marriage Ku. Kanta Shrivastava)
D/O Shri Deokinandan Shrivastava,
Retired Assistant Teacher ,
Titurdih Primery Kanya
School No.-1, Sikola Bhatta
District Durg (C.G.)

P.F. No. 264/07 Shri Verma 25/1/07

V E R S U S

Respondent ... ... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Education & Tribal Department
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The District Education Officer,
Durg (C.G)

RECEIVED-COPY 25/1/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Writ petition under articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS,

(22)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) No. 628 **सन् 2007**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

[redacted]श का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	1.2.2007 Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioners. Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 626 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Bablu

P.T.O.

(23)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 626 OF 2007

Petitioners : Tej Pratap Singh and others

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 627 OF 2007

Petitioners : Sheo Prasad Verma and others

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 628 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Kanta Sinha (Before marriage Ku. Kanta Shrivastava

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioners.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the State/ respondents.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 1st February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Penal lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has pointed out the circular dated 13.9.1997 (Annexure P/1), whereunder according to

(24)

the petitioners, the petitioners, who had obtained B.Ed. Examination Certificates before appointment, are also entitled to two advance increments. The respondents/State, while considering the cases of the petitioners, shall grant the relief, in accordance with the circular (supra).

2. For the aforementioned reasons, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact asto whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Bablu